

[12th October 1928]

(b) whether the local Government have submitted recommendations to fill up the vacancies; and

(c) whether the Government have recommended or propose to recommend any Muslim for at least one of the said judgeships?

A.—(a) One temporary judge and one permanent judge of the High Court attain 60 years of age on 16th November 1928 and 18th December 1928 respectively.

(b) The local Government make no recommendations for filling up vacancies among permanent judges or temporary additional judges of the High Court.

(c) The question does not arise.

Mr. W. O. Newsam's service as District Judge in Ganjam.

* 608 Q.—Mr. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) for how long Mr. W. O. Newsam has been acting as District Judge in Ganjam; and

(b) his service, and experience in all as acting District Judge?

A.—The hon. Member is referred to the History of Services of Gazetted and other officers in the Civil Department. A copy of the publication is available in the Council Library.

Religious and Charitable Endowments

Alleged withholding of tasdikis to certain temples in South Kanara.

* 609 Q.—Mr. K. R. KARANT: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that in the case of temples in South Kanara with incomes of less than Rs. 250 per annum and in which the trusteeships are vacant the tasdikis payable to them have been held up;

(b) if so, for what reasons, and how long the Government propose to follow that course and whether the Government have given any instructions as to how the viniyogas in such institutions are to be carried on till the tasdikis are restored to them; and

(c) whether such temples are still governed by the old committees which have been abolished?

A.—(a) & (b) The information has been called for.

(c) No. Under section 4 of the Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1926, the provisions of the Act do not apply to temples the average annual gross income of which, including income from endowments connected therewith for a period of five years immediately preceding the commencement of the Act, was less than Rs. 250 each. The temples in question are not therefore under any temple committee at present. The question of placing them under the control of the two new temple committees formed in the district is under consideration.

12th October 1928]

Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—“ With your permission, Mr. President, I should like to bring to your notice one point which I think is a matter of importance to non-official members. During the time of questions and answers, the hon. the Minister for Education replying to a question asked by an hon. Member of this House said ‘ if the hon. Member would apply his mind to it, the reasons would be obvious’, or something to that effect. It is due to the hon. Members of this House when they ask questions that the Government should give reasons as far as possible. We can consider it quite reasonable if the Government ask for notice, but when obviously they can give the answer or information, it is due to this House that they should give the answer. In these circumstances I would request you, Sir, to protect the interests of the House.”

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“ Will the hon. Member state the question and answer he refers to ? ”

Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—“ In reply to one of the questions the hon. the Education Minister asked the questioning member to apply his mind and stated that the reasons would be obvious. I think it is due to this House that hon. Members of the Treasury Bench should show greater courtesy and give reasons wherever they can, if asked.”

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—“ If I could remember aright, the question was as to why a depressed class man had not been nominated to a temple committee under the Hindu Religious Endowments Act; and I thought naturally, as all hon. Members are aware of the social customs in these matters, that it would not serve the interests of the public if I were to mention publicly the reasons. When hon. Members asked the question, I thought it was in the interests of the public themselves not to say it publicly.”

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“ I do not think the hon. the Minister intended anything against the capacity of hon. Members to understand things.”

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—“ No, Sir.”

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II

VOTING BY LOBBIES.

MR. SAMI VENKATACHALAM CHETTI :—“ May I make a request, Sir ? Last year about the time of the budget discussion as soon as the arrangements for the lobby system were completed, you enquired of the Members of this House whether the division might hereafter be taken under the lobby system. I remember that, so far as the budget discussion then was concerned, you decided that you might defer taking votes by means of the lobby arrangement and that later on we could consider the proposal. Now, Sir, I beg to submit that hereafter, that is from the next meeting, votes may be taken by dividing the House into lobbies.”

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“ I think the Leader of the House will consider the matter and state his views. I should like to hear after lunch interval from the leaders of parties as to their wishes regarding the question of going into lobbies.”